Rule 1006. Venue. Change of Venue.

- (a) <u>General Rule.</u> Except as otherwise provided by subdivisions [(a.1), (b),]
 (b) and (c) of this rule, an action against an individual may be brought in and only in a county [in which] where
 - [(1) the individual may be served or in which the cause of action arose or where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose or in any other county authorized by law, or]
 - (1) the individual may be served;
 - (2) the cause of action arose;
 - (3) a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose;

[Note: For a definition of transaction or occurrence, see *Craig v. W. J. Thiele & Sons, Inc.*, 149 A.2d 35 (Pa. 1959).]

(4) venue is authorized by law; or

[(2)](5) the property or a part of the property, which is the subject matter of the action, is located provided that equitable relief is sought with respect to the property.

[(a.1) Except as otherwise provided by subdivision (c), a medical professional liability action may be brought against a health care provider for a medical professional liability claim only in a county in which the cause of action arose. This provision does not apply to a cause of action that arises outside the Commonwealth.

Note: See Section 5101.1(c) of the Judicial Code, 42 Pa.C.S. § 5101.1(c), for the definitions of "health care provider," "medical professional liability action," and "medical professional liability claim."]

(b) <u>Venue Designated by Rule.</u> Actions against the following defendants, except as otherwise provided in subdivision (c), may be brought in and only in the counties designated by the following rules: political subdivisions, Rule 2103; partnerships, Rule 2130; unincorporated associations, Rule 2156; corporations and similar entities, Rule 2179.

[Note: Partnerships, unincorporated associations, and corporations and similar entities are subject to subdivision (a.1) governing venue in medical professional liability actions. See Rules 2130, 2156 and 2179.

Subdivision (a.1) is a venue rule and does not create jurisdiction in Pennsylvania over a foreign cause of action where jurisdiction does not otherwise exist.]

- (c)[(1) Except as otherwise provided by subdivision (c)(2), an] <u>Joint and</u> <u>Several Liability Actions. An</u> action to enforce a joint or joint and several liability against two or more defendants, except actions in which the Commonwealth is a party defendant, may be brought against all defendants in any county in which the venue may be laid against any one of the defendants under the general rules of subdivisions (a) or (b).
- [(2) If the action to enforce a joint or joint and several liability against two or more defendants includes one or more medical professional liability claims, the action shall be brought in any county in which the venue may be laid against any defendant under subdivision (a.1). This provision does not apply to a cause of action that arises outside the Commonwealth.]
- (d) <u>Transfer of Venue.</u>
 - (1) For the convenience of parties and witnesses, the court upon petition of any party may transfer an action to the appropriate court of any other county where the action could originally have been brought.
 - (2) [Where] <u>If</u>, upon petition and hearing [thereon], the court finds that a fair and impartial trial cannot be held in the county for reasons stated of record, the court may order that the action be transferred. The order changing venue shall be certified [forthwith] to the Supreme Court, which shall designate the county to which the case is to be transferred.

[Note: For the recusal of the judge for interest or prejudice, see Rule 2.11 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.]

(3) It shall be the duty of the prothonotary of the court in which the action is pending to forward to the prothonotary of the county to which the action is transferred, certified copies of the docket entries, process, pleadings, depositions, and other papers filed in the action. The costs and fees of the petition for transfer and the removal of the record shall be paid by the petitioner in the first instance to be taxable as costs in the case.

- (e) Improper Venue to be Raised by Preliminary Objection. Improper venue shall be raised by preliminary objection and if not so raised shall be waived. If a preliminary objection to venue is sustained, and there is a county of proper venue within the State, the action shall not be dismissed but shall be transferred to the appropriate court of that county. The costs and fees for transfer and removal of the record shall be paid by the plaintiff.
- (f)[(1) Except as provided by subdivision (f)(2), if] <u>Multiple Causes of Action.</u> <u>If</u> the plaintiff states more than one cause of action against the same defendant in the complaint pursuant to Rule 1020(a), the action may be brought in any county in which any one of the individual causes of action might have been brought.
- [(2) Except as otherwise provided by subdivision (c), if one or more of the causes of action stated against the same defendant is a medical professional liability claim, the action shall be brought in a county required by subdivision (a.1).]
- (g) The Civil Procedural Rules Committee shall reexamine the 2022 rule amendments two years after their effective date.

<u>Comment:</u> For a definition of transaction or occurrence, see *Craig v. W. J. Thiele* & Sons, Inc., 149 A.2d 35 (Pa. 1959).

For the recusal of the judge for interest or prejudice under subdivision (d)(2), see Rule 2.11 of the Code of Judicial Conduct.

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—1982

The revision of subdivision (d) of Venue Rule 1006 is made necessary by the repeal by the Judiciary Act Repealer Act (JARA) of a number of Acts of Assembly providing for a change of venue in civil actions for inability to obtain a fair and impartial trial because of interest or prejudice. The acts were repealed by JARA as of June 27, 1978, and they were not re-enacted as part of the Judicial Code. However, they remained in force under the "fail-safe provision" of Section 3(b) of JARA, 42 P.S. § 20003(b), until such time as general rules governing the subject were promulgated.

Among the acts repealed were the following:

1. The Act of March 30, 1875, as amended, 12 P.S. § 111 et seq., provided for change of venue on the general ground that a fair and impartial trial cannot be held in the county. It also contained the following specific grounds: (1) whenever the judge is personally interested in the case, (2) whenever title under which the parties claim has been derived from or through the judge, (3) whenever a relative of the judge is a party or is interested in the case, unless the judge so interested shall select another judge, not so related, to hear the case, (4) whenever the county or municipality or an official thereof is a party and it shall appear that local prejudice exists so that a fair trial cannot be had in such county, (5) whenever a large number of the inhabitants of the county have an interest in the question adverse to the applicant and it shall appear to the court that he cannot have a fair and impartial trial, (6) whenever it shall appear that any party has undue influence over the minds of the inhabitants or that they are prejudiced against the applicant so that a fair and impartial trial cannot be had, and (7) whenever any plea of land has been tried by two juries which have disagreed and have been discharged without rendering a verdict.

2. The Act of April 14, 1834, 15 P.S. § 4184, provided that in any action by or against a canal or a railroad company, the case shall be removed upon affidavit of the applicant that the removal is not made for the purpose of delay but because he firmly believes a fair and impartial trial cannot be held in a county through which the canal or railroad may pass.

3. The Act of May 22, 1878, § 117, provided that whenever an action to recover the purchase price of realty is brought in a county other than that in which the real estate is located, the defendants may obtain a change of venue upon filing an affidavit that the action involves an adjudication of the title, boundaries, location, condition or value of such real estate.

Rule 1006(d)(2) provides for a change of venue "where, upon petition and hearing thereon, the court finds that a fair and impartial trial cannot be held in the county for the reasons stated of record." This provision follows Rule of Criminal Procedure 312(a), which provides for certification of an order changing venue to the Supreme Court, which shall designate the transferee county.

The disqualification of a judge "in a proceeding in which his impartiality might reasonably be questioned" is governed by Canon 3C of the Code of Judicial Conduct. A note which cross-refers to the Code is added to new subdivision (d)(2).]

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT--JAN. 27, 2003

Act No. 127 of 2002 amended the Judicial Code by adding new Section 5101.1 providing for venue in medical professional liability actions. Section 5101.1(b) provides

(b) General rule.--Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, a medical professional liability action may be brought against a health care provider for a medical professional liability claim only in the county in which the cause of action arose.

This provision has been incorporated into Rule of Civil Procedure 1006 governing venue as new subdivision (a.1). The new subdivision uses the terminology of the legislation. "Medical professional liability action," "health care provider" and "medical professional liability claim" are terms defined by Section 5101.1(c) of the Code.

Joint and Several Liability

Under new subdivision (c)(2) of Rule 1006, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against two or more health care providers may be brought in any county in which venue may be laid against at least one of the health care providers under subdivision (a.1). Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against Health Care Provider B that provided treatment in County 2 may be brought in either County 1 or County 2.

However, subdivision (c)(2) does not allow an action to enforce a joint and several liability to be brought against a health care provider in a county in which venue may be laid against a defendant that is not a health care provider. Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against a product manufacturer that does business in County 2 may be brought only in County 1.

Multiple Causes of Action

Subdivision (f) of Rule 1006 provides that where more than one cause of action is asserted against the same defendant pursuant to Rule 1020(a), venue as to one cause of action constitutes venue as to all causes of action. In an action in which there are asserted multiple causes of action but only one is a claim for medical professional liability, the application of this provision could frustrate Section 5101.1 and result in an action being brought in a county other than the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose. New subdivision (f)(2) limits venue in such cases to the county required by new

subdivision (a.1), e.g., the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose.

The new venue provision for a medical professional liability claim is to be made applicable not only to individual defendants (Rule 1006(a.1)) but also to partnerships (Rule 2130(a)), unincorporated associations (Rule 2156(a)) and corporations and similar entities (Rule 2179(a)).

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT--DEC. 16, 2003

See Explanatory Comment preceding Pa.R.C.P. No. 1501.]

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—2011

Currently, a lawsuit based on medical treatment furnished in another state cannot be brought in Pennsylvania even if the defendants have substantial contacts with the state whereas Pennsylvania defendants can be sued in any state in which they have at least minimum contacts. The amendment to this rule would eliminate this discrepancy.]

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—2016

On January 8, 2014, the Supreme Court rescinded the then-existing provisions of the Code of Judicial Conduct effective July 1, 2014, and adopted new Canons 1 through 4 of the Code of Judicial Conduct of 2014, also effective July 1, 2014. See 44 Pa.B. 455 (January 25, 2014). At the direction of the Court, the Civil Procedural Rules Committee has identified and updated references to the Code of Judicial Conduct in the Rules of Civil Procedure to reflect these changes. Technical amendments to the Note to Rule 225 have also been made which do not affect practice and procedure.]

Rule 2130. Venue in an Action Against a Partnership.

(a) <u>General Rule.</u> Except as otherwise provided [by Rule 1006(a.1) and] by subdivision (c) of this rule, an action against a partnership may be brought in and only in a county where [the partnership regularly conducts business, or in the county where the cause of action arose or in a county where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose or in the county where the property or a part of the property which is the subject matter of the action is located provided that equitable relief is sought with respect to the property.]

(1) the partnership regularly conducts business;

- (2) the cause of action arose;
- (3) a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose; or
- (4) the property or a part of the property, which is the subject matter of the action, is located provided that equitable relief is sought with respect to the property.

[Note: Rule 1006(a.1) governs venue in actions for medical professional liability.]

- (b) <u>Venue in Actions Against a Liquidator.</u> Except as otherwise provided by subdivision (c) of this rule, an action against a liquidator may be brought in and only in a county where [the liquidator is liquidating the partnership business or in which the partnership last regularly conducted business, or in the county where the cause of action arose or in a county where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose.]
 - (1) the liquidator is liquidating the partnership business;
 - (2) the partnership last regularly conducted business;
 - (3) the cause of action arose; or
 - (4) a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose.

This rule shall not apply to an action against a liquidator deriving authority under the laws of the United States.

- (c) **Exception.** Subdivisions (a) and (b) of this rule do not restrict or affect the venue of an action
 - (1) against a partnership commenced by or for the attachment, seizure, garnishment, sequestration, or condemnation of real or personal property; or
 - (2) [an action] for the recovery of the possession of or the determination of the title to real or personal property.

(d) The Civil Procedural Rules Committee shall reexamine the 2022 rule amendments two years after their effective date.

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—2003

Act No. 127 of 2002 amended the Judicial Code by adding new Section 5101.1 providing for venue in medical professional liability actions. Section 5101.1(b) provides

(b) General rule.--Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, a medical professional liability action may be brought against a health care provider for a medical professional liability claim only in the county in which the cause of action arose.

This provision has been incorporated into Rule of Civil Procedure 1006 governing venue as new subdivision (a.1). The new subdivision uses the terminology of the legislation. "Medical professional liability action," "health care provider" and "medical professional liability claim" are terms defined by Section 5101.1(c) of the Code.

Joint and Several Liability

Under new subdivision (c)(2) of Rule 1006, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against two or more health care providers may be brought in any county in which venue may be laid against at least one of the health care providers under subdivision (a.1). Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against Health Care Provider B that provided treatment in County 2 may be brought in either County 1 or County 2. However, subdivision (c)(2) does not allow an action to enforce a joint and several liability to be brought against a health care provider in a county in which venue may be laid against a defendant that is not a health care provider. Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against a product manufacturer that does business in County 2 may be brought only in County 1. *Multiple Causes of Action*

Subdivision (f) of Rule 1006 provides that where more than one cause of action is asserted against the same defendant pursuant to Rule 1020(a), venue as to one cause of action constitutes venue as to all causes of action. In an action in which there are asserted multiple causes of action but only one is a claim for medical professional liability, the application of this provision could frustrate Section 5101.1 and result in an action being brought in a county other than the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose. New subdivision (f)(2) limits venue in such cases to the county required by new subdivision (a.1), e.g., the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose.

The new venue provision for a medical professional liability claim is to be made applicable not only to individual defendants (Rule 1006(a.1)) but also to partnerships (Rule 2130(a)), unincorporated associations (Rule 2156(a)) and corporations and similar entities (Rule 2179(a)).]

Rule 2156. Venue in an Action Against an Unincorporated Association.

- (a) <u>General Rule.</u> Except as otherwise provided [by Rule 1006(a.1) and] by subdivision (b) of this rule, an action against an association may be brought in and only in a county where [the association regularly conducts business or any association activity, or in the county where the cause of action arose or in a county where a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose or in the county where the property or a part of the property which is the subject matter of the action is located provided that equitable relief is sought with respect to the property.]
 - (1) the association regularly conducts business or any association activity;
 - (2) the cause of action arose;
 - (3) a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose; or
 - (4) the property or a part of the property, which is the subject matter of the action, is located provided that equitable relief is sought with respect to the property.

[Note: Rule 1006(a.1) governs venue in actions for medical professional liability.]

- (b) **Exception.** Subdivision (a) of this rule shall not restrict or affect the venue of an action
 - against an association commenced by or for the attachment, seizure, garnishment, sequestration, or condemnation of real or personal property; or
 - (2) **[an action]** for the recovery of the possession of or the determination of the title to real or personal property.

(c) The Civil Procedural Rules Committee shall reexamine the 2022 rule amendments two years after their effective date.

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—2003

Act No. 127 of 2002 amended the Judicial Code by adding new Section 5101.1 providing for venue in medical professional liability actions. Section 5101.1(b) provides

(b) General rule.--Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, a medical professional liability action may be brought against a health care provider for a medical professional liability claim only in the county in which the cause of action arose.

This provision has been incorporated into Rule of Civil Procedure 1006 governing venue as new subdivision (a.1). The new subdivision uses the terminology of the legislation. "Medical professional liability action," "health care provider" and "medical professional liability claim" are terms defined by Section 5101.1(c) of the Code.

Joint and Several Liability

Under new subdivision (c)(2) of Rule 1006, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against two or more health care providers may be brought in any county in which venue may be laid against at least one of the health care providers under subdivision (a.1). Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against Health Care Provider B that provided treatment in County 2 may be brought in either County 1 or County 2.

However, subdivision (c)(2) does not allow an action to enforce a joint and several liability to be brought against a health care provider in a county in which venue may be laid against a defendant that is not a health care provider. Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against a product manufacturer that does business in County 2 may be brought only in County 1.

Multiple Causes of Action

Subdivision (f) of Rule 1006 provides that where more than one cause of action is asserted against the same defendant pursuant to Rule 1020(a), venue as to one cause of action constitutes venue as to all causes of action. In an action in which there are asserted multiple causes of action but only one is a claim for medical professional liability, the application of this provision could frustrate Section 5101.1 and result in an action being brought in a county other than the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose. New subdivision (f)(2) limits venue in such cases to the county required by new

subdivision (a.1), e.g., the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose.

The new venue provision for a medical professional liability claim is to be made applicable not only to individual defendants (Rule 1006(a.1)) but also to partnerships (Rule 2130(a)), unincorporated associations (Rule 2156(a)) and corporations and similar entities (Rule 2179(a)).]

Rule 2179. Venue in an Action Against a Corporation or Similar Entity.

- (a) <u>General Rule.</u> Except as otherwise provided by an Act of Assembly[, by Rule 1006(a.1)] or by subdivision (b) of this rule, a personal action against a corporation or similar entity may be brought in and only in <u>a county where</u>
 - [the county where its] <u>the</u> registered office or principal place of business <u>of the corporation or similar entity</u> is located;
 - (2) **[a county where it]** <u>the corporation or similar entity</u> regularly conducts business;
 - (3) **[the county where]** the cause of action arose;
 - (4) **[a county where]** a transaction or occurrence took place out of which the cause of action arose**[,]**; or
 - (5) **[a county where]** the property or a part of the property, which is the subject matter of the action, is located provided that equitable relief is sought with respect to the property.

[Note: Rule 1006(a.1) governs venue in actions for medical professional liability.]

- (b) <u>Venue in Actions on an Insurance Policy.</u> An action upon a policy of insurance against an insurance company, association or exchange, either incorporated or organized in Pennsylvania or doing business in this Commonwealth, may be brought <u>in a county</u>
 - (1) **[in a county]** designated in **[Subdivision]** <u>subdivision</u> (a) of this rule; **[or]**
 - (2) **[in the county]** where the insured property is located; or
 - (3) **[in the county]** where the plaintiff resides, in actions upon policies of life, accident, health, disability, and **[live stock]** <u>livestock</u> insurance or fraternal benefit certificates.

(c) The Civil Procedural Rules Committee shall reexamine the 2022 rule amendments two years after their effective date.

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—2000

The Supreme Court of Pennsylvania has amended the following rules of civil procedure: Rule 76 which contains a definition of the term "political subdivision", Rules 2126, 2151 and 2176 which provide definitions governing associations as parties and Rule 2179(a)(2) which governs venue when a corporation or similar entity is a party to an action.

Political Subdivision

The rules of civil procedure have heretofore made no provision for a municipal authority as a party. The definition of the term "political subdivision" as set forth in Definition Rule 76 has now been amended to include the phrase "municipal or other local authority". The phrase "municipal or other local authority" is derived from Section 102 of the Judicial Code and Section 101 of Title 2 of the Consolidated Statutes relating to Administrative Law and Procedure.

The primary effect of the amendment is to bring a municipal or other local authority within the chapter of rules governing the Commonwealth and Political Subdivisions as Parties and subject an authority to three rules. Under Rule 2102(b) governing the style of action, an action will be brought by or against an authority "in its name." Rule 2103(b) will limit venue to the county in which the political subdivision is located unless the Commonwealth is the plaintiff or an Act of Assembly provides otherwise. Service upon an authority will be made pursuant to subdivision (b) of Rule 422 governing service upon a political subdivision.

It is recognized that a municipal or other local authority may perform a "sovereign or governmental" function, a "business or proprietary" function or a combination of both. It is useful, however, to have a unified practice which applies to all such entities. It is therefore appropriate that municipal or other local authorities be made subject to the rules governing political subdivisions in view of their performance of sovereign or governmental functions.

The characterization of a municipal or other local authority as a political subdivision is a procedural device only. As the note to the definition states, "he definition of the term 'political subdivision' in this rule has no bearing upon whether a particular entity is or is not a political subdivision for substantive matters."

Partnerships as Parties

The amendment to Rule 2176 defining the term "partnership" continues to provide that "partnership means a general or limited partnership" and adds new language: "whether it is also a registered limited liability partnership or electing partnership". The reference to a registered limited liability partnership and an electing partnership is derived from Section 8311(b) of the Associations Code, "Partnership defined".

The amendment excludes from the definition "limited liability company, unincorporated association, joint stock company or similar association". The reference to a limited liability company is new and takes into account Act No. 126 of 1994 which amended Title 15 of the Consolidated Statutes, the Associations Code, by adding Chapter 89 relating to limited liability companies. Although excluded here from the definition of partnership, the limited liability company is included in the revised definition of "corporation or similar entity" found in Rule 2176.

As revised, the exclusionary language of the definition no longer contains the terms "partnership association and registered partnership" which are obsolete.

Unincorporated Associations as Parties

The term "association" as used in Rule 2151 et seq. is not the broad term found in the "Associations Code". Rather, it has the limited meaning set forth in Rule 2151. The basic definition continues unchanged: "an unincorporated association conducting any business or engaging in any activity of any nature whether for profit or otherwise under a common name...." However, the definition excludes certain types of "associations" as used in the broader sense of that term. Whereas the former rule excluded from the definition the catalog of "an incorporated association, general partnership, limited partnership, registered partnership, partnership association, joint stock company or similar association", the amended definition simply states that "unincorporated association" does not include "a partnership as defined in Rule 2126 or a corporation or similar entity as defined in Rule 2176."

Corporations or Similar Entities as Parties

Rule 2176 is revised in two respects. First, the term "executive officer" is put in its rightful place alphabetically in the list of definitions but it is not otherwise changed. Second, the term "corporation or similar entity" is revised to include the terms "limited liability company, professional association and business trust" and to delete as obsolete the terms "registered partnership", "Massachusetts Trust" and "partnership association limited".

The addition of "business trust" includes within the definition of corporation or similar entity a "trust subject to Chapter 95 (relating to business trusts)." The addition of "professional association" includes a professional association as defined in Section 9302 of the Associations Code, i.e., "a professional association organized under the Act of August 7, 1961 (P.L. 941, No. 416), known as the Professional Association Act.... "

The addition of a "limited liability company" to the definition is in accord with the Source Note to Section 8906 of the Associations Code which states:

Notwithstanding the policy of Chapter 89 that a limited liability company is a form of partnership entity, for purposes of the Pennsylvania Rules of Civil Procedure a limited liability company will probably be deemed a "corporation or similar entity" under Pa.R.C.P. 2176, rather than a "partnership" under Pa.R.C.P. 2126 or an "association" under Pa.R.C.P. 2151.

The amendment to Rule 2179(a)(2) governing venue when a corporation or similar entity is a party to an action simply deletes a note containing an obsolete cross-reference.]

[EXPLANATORY COMMENT—2003

Act No. 127 of 2002 amended the Judicial Code by adding new Section 5101.1 providing for venue in medical professional liability actions. Section 5101.1(b) provides

(b) General rule.--Notwithstanding any other provision to the contrary, a medical professional liability action may be brought against a health care provider for a medical professional liability claim only in the county in which the cause of action arose.

This provision has been incorporated into Rule of Civil Procedure 1006 governing venue as new subdivision (a.1). The new subdivision uses the terminology of the legislation. "Medical professional liability action," "health care provider" and "medical professional liability claim" are terms defined by Section 5101.1(c) of the Code.

Joint and Several Liability

Under new subdivision (c)(2) of Rule 1006, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against two or more health care providers may be brought in any county in which venue may be laid against at least one of the health care providers under subdivision (a.1). Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against Health Care Provider B that provided treatment in County 2 may be brought in either County 1 or County 2.

However, subdivision (c)(2) does not allow an action to enforce a joint and several liability to be brought against a health care provider in a county in which venue may be laid against a defendant that is not a health care provider. Therefore, an action to enforce a joint and several liability against Health Care Provider A that provided treatment in County 1 and against a product manufacturer that does business in County 2 may be brought only in County 1.

Multiple Causes of Action

Subdivision (f) of Rule 1006 provides that where more than one cause of action is asserted against the same defendant pursuant to Rule 1020(a), venue as to one cause of action constitutes venue as to all causes of action. In an action in which there are asserted multiple causes of action but only one is a claim for medical professional liability, the application of this provision could frustrate Section 5101.1 and result in an action being brought in a county other than the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose. New subdivision (f)(2) limits venue in such cases to the county required by new subdivision (a.1), e.g., the county in which the cause of action for medical professional liability arose.

The new venue provision for a medical professional liability claim is to be made applicable not only to individual defendants (Rule 1006(a.1)) but also to partnerships (Rule 2130(a)), unincorporated associations (Rule 2156(a)) and corporations and similar entities (Rule 2179(a)).]